



Findings

March 23, 2011

CPRC Case No. 10-046

This case concerns complaints of Improper Procedure.

The Commission found the following:

- 1. Improper Procedure (Category 2)** – The alleged act did not occur.
- 2. Improper Procedure (Category 2)** – The alleged act did not occur.
- 3. Improper Procedure (Category 2)** – The alleged act did not occur.

CPRC Case No. 10-048

This case concerns complaints of Discourtesy.

The Commission found the following:

- 1. Improper Procedure (Unreasonable / Excessive Conduct) (Category 2)** – The alleged act occurred, but was justified, legal, and proper.
- 2. Discourtesy (Profane Language) (Category 2)** – The Department member committed all or part of the alleged acts of misconduct or poor service.

CPRC Case No. 10-049

This case concerns complaints of Improper Procedure Discourtesy.

The Commission found the following:

SUBJECT OFFICER #1

- 1. Improper Procedure (Category 2)** – The alleged act did not occur.

SUBJECT OFFICER #2

- 1. Improper Procedure (Category 2)** – The alleged act did not occur.

CPRC Case No. 10-053

This case concerns complaints of Discourtesy and Improper Procedure.

The Commission found the following:

- 1. Discourtesy (Category 2) – The alleged act did not occur.**
- 2. Improper Procedure Improper Procedure (Officer's refusal to provide Identification when requested) (Category 2) – The alleged act did not occur.**